


MEMBERSHIP

I have been on the Academic Board for:

	Count	%
1. Less than 1 year	18	39.1%
2. 1 - 3 years	19	41.3%
3. 4 - 5 years	2	4.3%
4. More than 5 years	7	15.2%
Total	46	
Average	1.96	
Stdev	1.032	




RESPONSIBILITIES

Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements:


During 2010, the Board performed its functions as set out in the Terms of Reference satisfactorily...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	8	17.4%
2. Agree	34	73.9%
3. Neutral	2	4.3%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	1.91	
Stdev	0.557	




During 2010, the Boards's activities aligned with the University Strategic Plan...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.2%
2. Agree	31	67.4%
3. Neutral	6	13.0%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	2.02	
Stdev	0.621	




During 2010, the Board promoted Teaching & Learning and Research satisfactorily...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.20%
2. Agree	24	52.20%
3. Neutral	11	23.9%
4. Disagree	3	6.5%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	2.22	
Stdev	0.795	



During 2010, the Board developed and monitored academic policies effectively...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	11	23.9%
2. Agree	19	41.3%
3. Neutral	9	19.6%
4. Disagree	3	6.5%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	44	
Average	2.16	
Stdev	0.974	




ORGANISATIONAL MATTERS

Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.


Academic Board has served the University well...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.2%
2. Agree	25	54.3%
3. Neutral	8	17.4%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	4	8.7%
Total	45	
Average	2.07	
Stdev	0.685	




The 2010 membership of the Board provided appropriate representation from across the University...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	8	17.4%
2. Agree	28	60.9%
3. Neutral	5	10.9%
4. Disagree	2	4.3%
Don't Know	3	6.5%
Total	46	
Average	2.02	
Stdev	.707	




The Board met frequently enough to perform its functions (it met 8 times during 2010)...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	14	30.40%
2. Agree	27	59%
3. Neutral	3	6.5%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	1.8	
Stdev	0.661	




The structure of the Board's agenda was satisfactory...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	10	21.7%
2. Agree	30	65%
3. Neutral	4	9%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	45	
Average	1.86	
Stdev	0.554	




The standard of submissions/attached papers was satisfactory...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.2%
2. Agree	33	71.7%
3. Neutral	4	8.7%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	1.98	
Stdev	0.583	



The Vice-Chancellor's report was informative and useful...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	17	37%
2. Agree	23	50.0%
3. Neutral	4	8.7%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	1.76	
Stdev	0.712	




STAKEHOLDER RELATIONSHIPS

Please reflect on how the Board operated during 2010, in addressing its broader stakeholder obligations.

Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.


I understand the relationship between Academic Board and its policy committee and sub-committees...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	10	21.7%
2. Agree	26	56.5%
3. Neutral	6	13.0%
4. Disagree	3	6.5%
Total	45	
Average	2.04	
Stdev	0.796	




The number and composition of policy committee and sub-committees provide sufficient breath and depth to academic governance...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	5	10.9%
2. Agree	26	56.5%
3. Neutral	9	19.6%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	4	8.7%
Total	45	
Average	2.15	
Stdev	0.654	




The reports from policy committee and sub-committees of Academic Board provide enough details for informed discussion...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.2%
2. Agree	22	47.8%
3. Neutral	12	26.1%
4. Disagree	3	6.5%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	46	
Average	2.25	
Stdev	0.811	



The Board communicates its decisions effectively to staff and students...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	2	4.3%
2. Agree	12	26.1%
3. Neutral	19	41.3%
4. Disagree	8	17.4%
5. Strongly Disagree	2	4.3%
Don't Know	2	4.3%
Total	45	
Average	2.91	
Stdev	0.921	




OPERATIONAL MATTERS

Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.


During 2010, the Board worked well as a group...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	5	10.9%
2. Agree	25	54%
3. Neutral	11	23.9%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
Don't Know	3	6.5%
Total	45	
Average	2.19	
Stdev	0.671	




During 2010, the roles and responsibilities of the Chair were discharged appropriately...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	19	41.3%
2. Agree	20	44%
3. Neutral	4	9%
Don't Know	2	4.3%
Total	45	
Average	1.65	
Stdev	0.65	




The standard of Secretariat support to Academic Board and its policy committee was high...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	22	47.8%
2. Agree	16	34.8%
3. Neutral	6	13.0%
Don't Know	1	2.2%
Total	45	
Average	1.64	
Stdev	0.718	




During 2010, the level of discussion on each item was appropriate...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	5	10.9%
2. Agree	23	50.0%
3. Neutral	9	19.6%
4. Disagree	5	10.9%
Don't Know	3	6.5%
Total	45	
Average	2.33	
Stdev	0.846	



I felt encouraged to contribute to discussion during Board meetings...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	6	13.0%
2. Agree	20	43.5%
3. Neutral	13	28.3%
4. Disagree	5	10.9%
Total	44	
Average	2.39	
Stdev	0.868	



I am satisfied with my own contributions at Board meetings...

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	15.2%
2. Agree	20	43.5%
3. Neutral	16	34.8%
4. Disagree	1	2.2%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.2%
Total	45	
Average	2.31	
Stdev	0.848	

