Enhancing safety for separating families affected by domestic and family violence:

A scoping review of modifiable factors



Authors: Krella, K. A., Painter, F. L., Booth, A. T., Holtzworth-Munroe, A., Evans, E., Jiang, H., & McIntosh, J. E.

Journal: Trauma, Violence, & Abuse.

What did we ask?

We looked at all existing research to discover what key factors are associated with enhanced safety for separating families affected by domestic and family violence (DFV).

What did we find?

The key factors associated with enhanced safety for families affected by domestic and family violence (DFV), included:

Geographic distance, by creating and maintaining physical distance from the perpetrator, best supported by court orders;

Protective use of technology, such as accessing support and networks, and storing and recording evidence. Safety was also improved by detecting and avoiding surveillance, and abstaining from technology use;

Relational support, through tactics that promote proximity to allies and caring family members, and a sense of familiarity and predictability for children, particularly in new environments;

Survivor-centred practitioners and services, facilitated by well-trained staff who were responsive, validating, actively advocating, providing practical information as well as support and referrals. Other service-level factors included responsiveness to the unique needs of the family, long-term and flexible support, and support for stable housing;

Court processes, such as screening for DFV, providing alternatives to traditional litigation (e.g. shuttle and videoconferencing mediation),

Court determinations including mediation that resulted in full or partial agreement, supervised or suspended contact between children and the abusive parent, and inclusion of children's voices in court processes.

Who might find this study relevant?







The findings from this study are highly valuable for practitioners, policy-makers, and researchers, working in family separation and domestic violence prevention fields.

> We found that caregivers play an active role in creating and navigating safety for themselves and their children. Self-directed safety occurred in the context of systemic barriers to support and service limitations.

What else did the research show?

When we looked at existing international research we also found:

There has been limited focus on safety as a primary outcome for separating families affected by DFV;

'Safety' is inconsistently defined and measured;

Methods contained little intentional focus on what works to increase safety for this highly vulnerable group;

There is a lack of evidence about effective safety enhancing actions within the family law system.

What does this mean?



Early and accurate identification of DFV is a high priority in practice, ensuring survivor-centred, collaborative safety responses while holding perpetrators accountable; this is best supported by enhanced training for practitioners engaging with survivors.



Integrating survivor and child perspectives in policy development and evaluation is key, with targeted funding towards evidence-based, survivor-informed safety initiatives, such as flexible funding, accessible housing, long-term support, and early risk screening.



Longitudinal and intersectional research methods are needed in the family law context to examine and measure safety in ways that reflect survivor experiences, track demographic nuances, and assess long-term outcomes.





