


**MEMBERSHIP**

*I have been on the Academic Board for:*

	Count	%
1. Less than 2 year	7	13.7%
2. 1 - 3 years	25	49.0%
3. 4 - 5 years	8	15.7%
4. More than 5 years	11	21.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.45	
Stdev	0.986	




**RESPONSIBILITIES**

**Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements:**


*During 2009, the Board performed its functions as set out in the Terms of Reference satisfactorily...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	7	13.7%
2. Agree	36	70.6%
3. Neutral	6	11.8%
4. Disagree	1	2.0%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.02	
Stdev	0.589	




*During 2009, the Boards's activities aligned with the University Strategic Plan...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	6	11.8%
2. Agree	35	68.6%
3. Neutral	7	13.7%
4. Disagree	2	3.9%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.1	
Stdev	0.647	




*During 2009, the Board promoted Teaching & Learning and Research satisfactorily...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	8	15.70%
2. Agree	26	51.00%
3. Neutral	15	29.4%
4. Disagree	2	3.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.22	
Stdev	0.757	



*During 2009, the Board developed and monitored academic policies effectively...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	11	21.6%
2. Agree	21	41.2%
3. Neutral	15	29.4%
4. Disagree	2	3.9%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.22	
Stdev	0.910	




**ORGANISATIONAL MATTERS**

**Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.**


*Academic Board has served the University well...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	6	11.8%
2. Agree	30	58.8%
3. Neutral	10	19.6%
4. Disagree	3	5.9%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.26	
Stdev	0.828	




*The 2009 membership of the Board provided appropriate representation from across the University...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	9	17.6%
2. Agree	33	64.7%
3. Neutral	6	11.8%
4. Disagree	3	5.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	2.06	
Stdev	0.732	




*The Board met frequently enough to perform its functions (it met 8 times during 2009)...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	12	23.50%
2. Agree	31	61%
3. Neutral	7	13.7%
4. Disagree	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	
Average	1.94	
Stdev	0.676	




*The structure of the Board's agenda was satisfactory...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	11	21.6%
2. Agree	28	55%
3. Neutral	10	20%
4. Disagree	1	2.0%
Total	50	
Average	2.02	
Stdev	0.714	




*The standard of submissions/attached papers was satisfactory...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	8	15.7%
2. Agree	31	60.8%
3. Neutral	8	15.7%
4. Disagree	3	5.9%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
Total	51	
Average	2.18	
Stdev	0.842	



*The Vice-Chancellor's report was informative and useful...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	18	35%
2. Agree	28	54.9%
3. Neutral	4	7.8%
4. Disagree	1	2.0%
Total	51	
Average	1.76	
Stdev	0.681	




## STAKEHOLDER RELATIONSHIPS

**Please reflect on how the Board operated during 2009, in addressing its broader stakeholder obligations.**

**Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.**


*I understand the relationship between Academic Board and its policy committee and sub-committees...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	12	23.5%
2. Agree	21	41.2%
3. Neutral	10	19.6%
4. Disagree	4	7.8%
Don't know	3	5.9%
Total	50	
Average	2.13	
Stdev	0.900	




*The number and composition of policy committee and sub-committees provide sufficient breath and depth to academic governance...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	4	7.8%
2. Agree	25	49.0%
3. Neutral	14	27.5%
4. Disagree	2	3.9%
Don't know	5	9.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.31	
Stdev	0.701	




*The reports from policy committee and sub-committees of Academic Board provide enough details for informed discussion...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	2	3.9%
2. Agree	23	45.1%
3. Neutral	15	29.4%
4. Disagree	8	15.7%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.65	
Stdev	0.879	



*The Board communicates its decisions effectively to staff and students...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	1	2.0%
2. Agree	10	19.6%
3. Neutral	16	31.4%
4. Disagree	15	29.4%
5. Strongly Disagree	2	3.9%
Don't know	6	11.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	3.16	
Stdev	0.914	




**OPERATIONAL MATTERS**

**Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements.**


*During 2009, the Board worked well as a group...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	5	9.8%
2. Agree	24	47%
3. Neutral	14	27.5%
4. Disagree	7	13.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.46	
Stdev	0.862	




*During 2009, the roles and responsibilities of the Chair were discharged appropriately...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	27	52.9%
2. Agree	22	43%
3. Neutral	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	1.48	
Stdev	0.544	




*The standard of Secretariat support to Academic Board and its policy committee was high...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	27	52.9%
2. Agree	19	37.3%
3. Neutral	3	5.9%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	1.51	
Stdev	0.617	




*During 2009, the level of discussion on each item was appropriate...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	2	3.9%
2. Agree	23	45.1%
3. Neutral	12	23.5%
4. Disagree	12	23.5%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.74	
Stdev	0.944	



*I felt encouraged to contribute to discussion during Board meetings...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	3	5.9%
2. Agree	19	37.3%
3. Neutral	20	39.2%
4. Disagree	5	9.8%
5. Strongly Disagree	2	3.9%
Don't know	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.67	
Stdev	0.899	



*I am satisfied with my own contributions at Board meetings...*

	Count	%
1. Strongly Agree	1	2.0%
2. Agree	20	39.2%
3. Neutral	21	41.2%
4. Disagree	7	13.7%
5. Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	
Average	2.74	
Stdev	0.803	

